



## Combined Unions Bulletin #6 for TransGrid Employees 8 February 2013

For more information contact:

Your union contact:

Justine McCarthy  
**APESMA**

[jmccarthy@apesma.com.au](mailto:jmccarthy@apesma.com.au)

Ian Braithwaite  
**PSA**

[ibraithwaite@psa.asn.au](mailto:ibraithwaite@psa.asn.au)

Paul Sinclair  
**ETU**

[pauls@etunsw.asn.au](mailto:pauls@etunsw.asn.au)

Lorraine Usher  
**CFMEU**

[lusher@cfmeu.com.au](mailto:lusher@cfmeu.com.au)

Scott McNamara  
**USU**

[smcnamara@usu.org.au](mailto:smcnamara@usu.org.au)



## MEETING WITH MD

### COMBINED UNIONS MEETING WITH MD LAST WEEK

Last week the combined unions of TransGrid met with the MD to discuss members concerns with the efficiencies proposals.

The meeting was productive and addressed the efficiency proposals outlined in the MD's email of 4<sup>th</sup> October 2012.

Much of the discussion centred on what members have raised as being the two primary areas of concern; the forced relocation of staff and the implementation of standard hour positions in Sydney.

Some positive discussion took place, however it seems that TransGrid still are firmly of the view that the steps they are taking are not 'significant'. The unions have asked TransGrid to put their position in writing to explain to us why they think that these issues are not 'significant' and TransGrid have undertaken to do this. The unions are of the view that both the process that TransGrid have followed and the substantive issue concerning the implementation of standard hour positions is most certainly 'significant' and presents an arguable case. Once we have TransGrid's letter, the unions will report back to members.

In regards to the forced relocations, TransGrid have stated that anyone who feels they will be at a distinct disadvantage can discuss their situation with HR and that they will make every effort to address concerns. Members who feel they are in this position should contact their union delegate or local organiser.